UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,507	03/26/2004	Stephane Cayla	BGC.0002US (N2325-US)	8147
21906 TROP PRUNE	7590 09/25/2008 R & HU PC		EXAM	INER
1616 S. VOSS	ROAD, SUITE 750		GELIN, JEAN ALLAND	
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
	10/810,507	CAYLA ET AL.
}		Art Unit
·	Jean Gelin	2617

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal B	rief Request for Review filed <u>07/03/08</u> .
1. Improper Request – The Recreason(s):	quest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include	ot been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. de reasons why a review is appropriate. included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	e continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from munication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal bried brief will be reset to be one month for running from the receipt of the notice.	Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been rappeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant if in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period be of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date le.
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consi	the status of the claim(s) is as follows:
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of on on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	nference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office tion is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Dwayne D. Bost</u> .	(3)
(2) <u>Jean Gelin</u> .	(4)

(4)______.